



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/774,487	02/10/2004	Patrick J. Paul	ANM5177USNP	8927
27777	7590	01/21/2010	EXAMINER	
PHILIP S. JOHNSON JOHNSON & JOHNSON ONE JOHNSON & JOHNSON PLAZA NEW BRUNSWICK, NJ 08933-7003			PRICE, NATHAN R	
ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER			
	3763			
NOTIFICATION DATE	DELIVERY MODE			
01/21/2010	ELECTRONIC			

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Notice of the Office communication was sent electronically on above-indicated "Notification Date" to the following e-mail address(es):

jnjuspatent@corus.jnj.com
lhhowd@its.jnj.com
gsanche@its.jnj.com

Office Action Summary	Application No. 10/774,487	Applicant(s) PAUL ET AL.
	Examiner NATHAN R. PRICE	Art Unit 3763

-- The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address --
Period for Reply

A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) OR THIRTY (30) DAYS, WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.

- Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- If no period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).
- Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).

Status

- 1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 08 October 2009.
 2a) This action is FINAL. 2b) This action is non-final.
 3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under *Ex parte Quayle*, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.

Disposition of Claims

- 4) Claim(s) 1-32 is/are pending in the application.
 4a) Of the above claim(s) _____ is/are withdrawn from consideration.
 5) Claim(s) _____ is/are allowed.
 6) Claim(s) 1-32 is/are rejected.
 7) Claim(s) _____ is/are objected to.
 8) Claim(s) _____ are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.

Application Papers

- 9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner.
 10) The drawing(s) filed on 08 October 2009 is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner.
 Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).
 Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).
 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.

Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119

- 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).
 a) All b) Some * c) None of:
 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.
 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. _____.
 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).

* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.

Attachment(s)

- 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)
 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)
 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/GS-68)
 Paper No(s)/Mail Date _____
- 4) Interview Summary (PTO-413)
 Paper No(s)/Mail Date _____
- 5) Notice of Informal Patent Application
 6) Other: _____

DETAILED ACTION

Response to Amendment

1. This office action is responsive to the amendment filed on July 9, 2009. As directed by the amendment: claims 1, 5, 19, and 32 have been amended, no claims have been cancelled, and no new claims have been added. Thus, claims 1-32 are presently pending in this application. Applicant's amendments to claims 5 and 32 are sufficient to overcome objection to those claims from the previous office action.

Drawings

2. The drawings were received on October 8, 2009. These drawings are accepted.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

3. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless –

(e) the invention was described in (1) an application for patent, published under section 122(b), by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent or (2) a patent granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent, except that an international application filed under the treaty defined in section 351(a) shall have the effects for purposes of this subsection of an application filed in the United States only if the international application designated the United States and was published under Article 21(2) of such treaty in the English language.

4. Claims 1-8 and 12-17 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being anticipated by Blomquist et al. (US 20030163090).

5. Regarding claims 1-8 and 12-17, Blomquist et al. discloses an infusion device 10 (fig. 1-4), comprising: a casing comprising an external wall 14 (fig. 4) and a plurality of internal adjoining housings (battery chamber 114, cartridge chamber 80, and remaining chamber defined between for electronics and pump, see fig. 4), including a first housing

80 (fig. 4) enclosing a liquid reservoir 220 (see fig. 11; par. 0058) and a drive mechanism 88 (fig. 4; par. 0058); an electronics assembly (boards 450, 452, fig. 35; par. 0116) and a pump assembly 118 (fig. 4) provided in a second housing (remaining housing between 114 and 80, fig. 4) for controlling the drive mechanism to dispense the liquid from the reservoir according to a selected pattern; a battery (par. 0060) provided in a third housing 114 (fig. 4); and a primary vent 36 (fig. 2) provided for venting the infusion device to atmosphere (par. 0056), said primary vent comprising a hydrophobic barrier (par. 0056) allowing passage of gas therethrough while preventing passage of liquid therethrough (par. 0056); and at least one secondary vent 108 (fig. 4) for venting the infusion device to atmosphere (via venting through primary vent 36) provided between selected ones of said housings (par. 0059; between cartridge chamber 80 and interior of pump housing); said at least one secondary vent including a hydrophobic barrier (par. 0059) allowing passage of gas therethrough while preventing passage of liquid therethrough (par. 0059); said liquid reservoir contains insulin (par. 0003, pumps may be used to deliver insulin; par. 0112, this pump delivers insulin); said liquid reservoir defines a syringe (see fig. 10-11), comprising a generally tubular liquid storage section 202 (fig. 10) and a movable plunger 258 (fig. 9-10); said drive mechanism comprises a lead screw and a drive nut (par. 0064); said second housing is vented to atmosphere via said secondary vent (110 allows passage of gas between chamber 80 and interior of pump housing, par. 0059) and said first housing (first housing 80 having opening 28 when pump cap 16 is removed, par. 0054, 0059; fig. 1-4); means for a user to access said first housing (pump cap 16, fig. 1); means for a user to access said third

housing (battery cap 24, fig. 1); said second housing is inaccessible by a user (there is no opening provided into the remaining chamber, see fig. 1); said casing is portable (via belt clip 850, fig. 36-37; par. 0136); said liquid reservoir is refillable (see par. 0072-0073; the reservoir is designed to be filled or refilled by a user); said liquid reservoir is replaceable (see par. 0079, reservoir is capable of being inserted and removed, and is capable of being replaced); said casing is configured to be concealed on a user (via attachment to a belt with belt clip 850, par. 0136; fig. 36-37); said secondary vent is provided between said second housing and said first housing (par. 0059); and said drive mechanism extends from said first housing to said second housing via an opening (par. 0059) comprising a seal (par. 0062).

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

6. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negated by the manner in which the invention was made.

7. Claims 9-11 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Blomquist in view of Toner et al. (US 6562616).

8. Regarding claims 9-11, Blomquist discloses the apparatus as claimed except for said hydrophobic barriers comprise membranes, each said membrane having a pre-selected minimum water entry pressure higher than a water pressure of a selected depth of water and greater than or equal to approximately 10 psi. However, Toner et al. teaches hydrophobic membranes include membranes which are impermeable to water

Art Unit: 3763

up to a certain pressure differential across the membrane (col. 13, ln. 66 - col. 14, ln. 8). Therefore, it would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to modify the Blomquist apparatus such that said hydrophobic barriers comprise membranes, each membrane having a pre-selected minimum water entry pressure higher than a water pressure of a selected depth of water, as taught by Toner et al., since membrane materials having these characteristics can be easily obtained commercially or prepared using standard techniques (col. 14, ln. 6-8).

9. Furthermore, it would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to utilize a membrane with a pre-selected minimum water entry pressure of greater than or equal to 10 psi, since it has been held that where the general conditions of a claim are disclosed in the prior art, discovering the optimum or workable ranges involves only routine skill in the art. *In re Aller*, 105 USPQ 233.

10. Claim 18 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Blomquist in view of Lorenzen et al. (US 6770067).

11. Regarding claim 18, Blomquist discloses the apparatus as claimed except for said first, second, and third housings are hermetically sealed from one another against passage of liquid therebetween. However, Lorenzen et al. teaches hermetically sealing the different compartments within a pump housing (col. 6, ln. 34-47). Therefore, it would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to modify the Blomquist apparatus such that said first, second, and third housings are hermetically sealed from one another against passage of liquid

therebetween, as taught by Lorenzen et al., for the purpose of protecting the critical components of the pump from exposure to dangerous environmental conditions.

12. Claims 19-26, 30, and 31 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Blomquist in view of Moberg (US 6248093).

13. Regarding claims 19-26, 30, and 31, Blomquist discloses the apparatus as claimed (see elements identified in the rejections of claims 1-8 and 12-17 above), including a primary vent 36 (fig. 2) for venting the casing to the atmosphere, except for a plurality of primary vents for venting the casing to the atmosphere. However, Moberg teaches utilizing multiple primary vent ports in two different locations (col. 8, ln. 10-32 describe different possible locations for a vent port, and ln. 30-32 describe utilizing them in both locations at once). Therefore, it would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to modify the Blomquist apparatus such that it comprises a plurality of primary vents, as taught by Moberg, for the purpose of further decreasing the chance of harmful differential pressures building up inside the device (col. 7, ln. 38-49).

14. Claims 27-29 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Blomquist in view of Moberg, and further in view of Toner et al.

15. Regarding claims 27-29, Blomquist in view of Moberg discloses the apparatus as claimed except for said hydrophobic barriers comprise membranes, each said membrane having a pre-selected minimum water entry pressure higher than a water pressure of a selected depth of water and between about 10 psi and about 15 psi. However, Toner et al. teaches hydrophobic membranes include membranes which are

Art Unit: 3763

impermeable to water up to a certain pressure differential across the membrane (col. 13, ln. 66 - col. 14, ln. 8). Therefore, it would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to modify the Blomquist in view of Moberg apparatus such that said hydrophobic barriers comprise membranes, each membrane having a pre-selected minimum water entry pressure higher than a water pressure of a selected depth of water, as taught by Toner et al., since membrane materials having these characteristics can be easily obtained commercially or prepared using standard techniques (col. 14, ln. 6-8).

16. Furthermore, it would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to utilize a membrane with a pre-selected minimum water entry pressure between about 10 psi and about 15 psi, since it has been held that where the general conditions of a claim are disclosed in the prior art, discovering the optimum or workable ranges involves only routine skill in the art. *In re Aller*, 105 USPQ 233.

17. Claim 32 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Blomquist in view of Moberg, and further in view of Lorenzen et al.

18. Regarding claim 32, Blomquist in view of Moberg discloses the apparatus as claimed except for said reservoir housing, said electronics and mechanical housing, and said battery housing are hermetically sealed from one another against passage of liquid therebetween. However, Lorenzen et al. teaches hermetically sealing the different compartments within a pump housing (col. 6, ln. 34-47). Therefore, it would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to

modify the Blomquist in view of Moberg apparatus such that said reservoir housing, said electronics and mechanical housing, and said battery housing are hermetically sealed from one another against passage of liquid therebetween, as taught by Lorenzen et al., for the purpose of protecting the critical components of the pump from exposure to dangerous environmental conditions.

Response to Arguments

19. Applicant's arguments filed July 9, 2009 have been fully considered but they are not persuasive.
20. Applicant argues that the newly added limitation "at least one secondary vent *for venting an infusion device to atmosphere*" is not disclosed by Blomquist. Examiner respectfully disagrees. Applicant states that Applicant's device provides multiple, independent pathways for venting gas from chambers of the medical device to atmosphere rather than intermediate, internal vents as is the case in Blomquist. However, Examiner interprets the language "for venting an infusion device to atmosphere" broadly to encompass any vents which direct airflow to atmosphere, including vents which involve intermediate venting as described by Applicant.

Conclusion

21. **THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL.** Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not

Art Unit: 3763

mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of this final action.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to NATHAN R. PRICE whose telephone number is (571)270-5421. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Thursday, 9:00 a.m. - 5:00 p.m. EST.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Nicholas Lucchesi can be reached on 571-272-4977. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see <http://pair-direct.uspto.gov>. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

Application/Control Number: 10/774,487
Art Unit: 3763

Page 10

/N. R. P./
Examiner, Art Unit 3763

/Nicholas D Lucchesi/
Supervisory Patent Examiner, Art
Unit 3763